IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA 10 OCT 12 AM 11: 44 OFFICE OF THE GLERK

PRO SE CIVIL COMPLAINT

CASE CAPTION: Parties to this Civil Action:

I.

Case No 8: 100386 (the court will assign a number)

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(a), the names of all parties must appear in the case caption. The court will not consider a claim against any defendant who is not listed in the caption.				
A.	Plaintiff(s) Name(s):	Address(es):	Telephone No. (only if you are NOT a prisoner)	
	Johnson Janet R.	11132 HILHOPAUE	(402) 933-9383	
		Omalia NE 68/6	4	
		,		
		•		
B.	Defendant(s) Name(s):	Ado	dress(es) If known:	
	Potter John E.			
	Potter John E. Postmaster General	/		
			_	
1				

(Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

II. STATEMENT OF CLAIM(S)

When did the events occur?

A.

State briefly the facts of your claim. Describe how each defendant is involved. You do not need to give legal arguments or cite cases or statutes. Use as much space as you need to state the facts. (Attach extra sheets if necessary.)

Events occured on 5,29,2008 and 5/30/2008
B. What happened?
On 5/29/2008 Janet Johnson was working at Papillion
Station. When she bent over to puk up a tray of moul from
The floor she did so with difficulty. Rolanda Berry had
observed this and called her into her office. During the discussion
that followed Janet Johnson told Rolando Berry that ske had
disabelities and specifically a back disabelity. She also requested
time off to get treatment for this back disibelity. Rolanda Berry
refresed Janet Johnson request. After knowledge of Jonet Johnson
back districtly, Rolanda Berry made no attempts to make any
reas mable accommodations for Janet Johnson.

II. STATEMENT OF CLAIM(S) (continued)

C11 5/30/2008 Janet Johnson was involved in a minor wehick accident. She bunged a mail boy, on 6/6/2008 she received a letter of separation from Rolanda Berry. 1) Rolanda Berry had several disspline choices available to hor (3) counseling (5) letter of warning (2) suspension (1) termination She choose the most sever displine, ternination. @ The accedent was improporly investigated by Rolanda Berry: (a) The occident report clamed that there was \$20,00 in damage to the mail box . This was a false statement . The owner denied this claim. to the group of mail boxes went properly aligned according to tostal regulations Route inspections require managers to correct this Sefficiency. The was what created the insafe delivery to these boxes. (Tanet Johnson had inadequate training from patchellon The had been deried the opportunity to drive the weller up to this incident. & Janet Johnson food been advised by per Dr. Dr Gold to wear a back brose for her back disability. Rolands Berry was aware that she was wearing a back brace on the day of the accept. The brace limited her reach to the mast boxes. This required for to pull the vehicle closer to the mail box thus during the next box. You must alterent Delivery of the mail.

III. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

Check any of the following that apply to this case (you may check more than one):
United States or a federal official or agency is a party
Claim arises under the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States
Violation of civil rights
Employment discrimination
Diversity of Citizenship (a matter between citizens of different states in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000)
Other basis for jurisdiction in federal court (explain below)
IV. STATEMENT OF VENUE
State briefly the connection between this case and Nebraska. For example, does a party reside or do business in Nebraska? Is a party incorporated in Nebraska? Did an injury occur in Nebraska? Did the claim arise in Nebraska?
The dishetety discurration occurred in Papillion Nebraska
at the Papellion Portal Station -

v. relief

State	briefly what you want the court to do for you.
	The plantiff as requesting compensatory damages
	of 60,000 and 5,000 for pain and suffering
VI.	EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES
	claims, but not all, require exhaustion of administrative procedures. Answer the questions to the best of your ability.
A.	Have the claims which you make in this civil action been presented through any type of administrative procedure within any state or federal government agency?
	Yes No
В.	If you answered yes, state the date your claims were so presented, how they were presented, and the result of that procedure:
A	found complaint was filed with the Et OC at the St Louis
	tuit affice on 7/13, 2008, On 8/3/2010 the Complentant with drew
	conglant. The Plantiff believe that the court was biased against
he	er due to statement made by the Tudgl.
C.	If you answered no, give the reasons, if applicable, why the claims made in this action have not been presented through administrative procedures:

JURY	JUDGE
VIII. VERIFICATION	
I (we) declare under p	enalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Date(s) Executed:	Signature(s) of Plaintiff(s):
10-10-2010	Mrs. Janet R. Johnson
w····ummine	
Note:	
IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD YOUR COMPLAINT, THERI	TO PAY THE COURT'S FILING FEE UPON THE FILING OF IS A SEPARATE FORM TO BE USED FOR APPLYING TO PERIS. Also, if there is more than one plaintiff in the case where the country is the case where the case

wishes to proceed in forma pauperis, each such plaintiff must submit a separate application to

proceed in forma pauperis.